Monitoring benthic foraminiferal dynamics at Bottsand coastal lagoon (western Baltic Sea)
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Abstract. Benthic foraminifera from Bottsand coastal lagoon, western Baltic Sea, have been studied since the mid-1960s. They were monitored annually in late autumn since 2003 at the terminal ditch of the lagoon. There were 12 different species recognised, of which three have not been recorded during earlier investigations. Dominant species showed strong interannual fluctuations and a steady increase in population densities over the last decade. *Elphidium incertum*, a stenohaline species of the Baltic deep water fauna, colonised the Bottsand lagoon in 2016, most likely during a period of salinities >19 units and water temperatures of 18 °C on average in early autumn. The high salinities probably triggered their germination from a propagule bank in the ditch bottom sediment. The new *E. incertum* population showed densities higher by an order of magnitude than those of the indigenous species. The latter did not decline, revealing that *E. incertum* used another food source or occupied a different microhabitat. *Elphidium incertum* survived transient periods of lower salinities in late autumn 2017, though with reduced abundances, and became a regular faunal constituent at the Bottsand lagoon.

1 Introduction

Benthic foraminifera are reliable indicators for environmental conditions at the sea floor and general ecosystem status. In the course of ongoing global warming, environmental changes are expected to profoundly change the assemblage structure of near-shore foraminiferal communities (Hayne et al., 2011; Uthicke et al., 2013; Weinmann and Goldstein, 2016). This has only been recorded in extreme settings to date (Arieli et al., 2011; Schmid et al., 2015). Consequently, the benthic foraminiferal assemblage composition in a given area has been considered as rather static (e.g. Sen Gupta and Smith, 2010). Species’ distribution areas are framed by their limiting ecological conditions (e.g. Schönfeld and Altenbach, 2005; Schönfeld, 2006), even though marked abundance fluctuations may occur due to seasonal variations in food supply or transient environmental deteriorations (e.g. Alve, 1995; Ogha and Kitazato, 1997; Schönfeld and Nunnerger, 2007). Strong currents, storm surges, turbidites, or drifting sea ice were invoked as the mechanisms of species dispersal beyond these ecological boundaries (Murray et al., 1982; Dieckmann et al., 1987; Lin et al., 2005; Bolliet et al., 2014), whereas only a very few foraminiferal species have been displaced by human activities (McGann et al., 2000; Asteman and Schönfeld, 2016). This static concept of foraminiferal distribution has been challenged by the discovery of foraminiferal propagules (Alve and Goldstein, 2002), i.e. unilocular sexually or asexually produced juveniles, which are on the move and have not yet commenced with growth and chamber formation (Alve and Goldstein, 2003).

Several experiments have been performed to identify and incubate propagules from the < 32 or < 63 μm grain size fraction of surface sediments (Alve and Goldstein, 2003, 2010; Goldstein and Alve, 2011; Weinmann and Goldstein, 2017). Surprisingly, only shallow water foraminifera could be raised from propagule associations of deep fjord and shelf samples. Some had never been found living close to the sampling site, and faunas with different compositions grew under the different conditions. The experimental results indicated a wide dispersal and mixing of propagules by ocean or tidal currents. They accumulate in surface sediments, and only those species whose preferred conditions develop will mature. The
environmental triggers for their growth and the resilience of the juveniles are not well constrained to date.

In this short note, a sudden bloom of the stenohaline *Elphidium incertum* in a low-saline, shallow water lagoon from the Baltic Sea is reported. Hydrographic monitoring data at the sampling site displayed a short period of exceptionally high salinities of 19.8 units on average 2 months before. The population has successfully recruited and could stand conditions at its ecological limits for more than 1 year. These findings provide compelling evidence for the powerful potential of propagules: they are waiting in the wings to restructure near-shore foraminiferal faunas in case of profound environmental changes.

1.1 Geographical and environmental setting

The nature reserve Bottsand is situated at the western Baltic coast of Germany, about 20 km to the northeast of Kiel (Fig. 1). The lagoon is confined to the south by the Wendtorf Marina and to the east by a dike that has been built in 1880–1882 and was refurbished in 1982. The northern fringe is a 1.4 km long spit bar. The successive westward progradation of the spit since 1870 has resulted in almost complete closure of the lagoon (Schrader, 1990; Knief, 2013). Bogs grew through aggradation at the northern margin of the lagoon, where an extensive salt marsh developed (Wolfram, 1996). A concomitant aggradation of the salt marsh at the foot of the dike has tapered the lagoon to a terminal ditch that runs out in a series of ponds. The northern part of the lagoon is separated from the southern part by a broad shoal that falls dry at low water.

The bottom sediment of the lagoon is a fine to medium sand (Grabert, 1971). The sediment surface is covered by a few centimetres of rotted plant debris in places (Lehmann, 2000). The invertebrate fauna of Bottsand is characterised by dense lugworm colonies and clusters of *Mytilus edulis* and other molluscs that are common in the Baltic Sea. The plant associations are dominated by macroalgae (*Fucus vesiculosus*, *Zostera marina*) (Hammann and Zimmer, 2014). In marginal areas of the lagoon, where the water is only a few centimetres deep, patches of sea rush and saltwort (*Bolboschoenus maritimus*, *Salicornia stricta*) are found.

The salinity of lagoonal waters varied between 12 and 18 units. It was markedly lower in the terminal northeastern ditch at 6 to 10 salinity units, mainly due to precipitation and ground water seeping (Lutze, 1968a). The water temperatures in the lagoon were mostly 4 to 5 °C higher than the surface water temperature of the adjacent Baltic Sea. A significant environmental parameter for the ecosystems in the lagoon is water level changes, which are driven by wind direction change (Sztobryn et al., 2009). Onshore winds may raise the water level up to 2 m, in extreme cases even to 3 m above standard German reference level (NN), and they may fall to 1.5 m below NN in Kiel Bight (Härdtle, 1984). The tidal range is rather low, at approximately ±0.10 m.

1.2 Foraminiferal assemblages

The foraminifera from the Bottsand lagoon and the adjoining salt marsh have been studied since the mid-1960s. Early surveys focused on the general distribution of foraminiferal species in the lagoon and Baltic Sea, as well as their growth, reproduction (Lutze, 1968a), and patchiness (Lutze, 1968b) and the redeposition of tests (Grabert, 1971). The faunal inventory, microenvironmental, and reproductive cycles of salt marsh foraminifera were described (Brön ninemann et al., 1989; Lehmann, 2000; Lehmann et al., 2006). These studies revealed that the lagoon was populated by four species, of which *Elphidium williamsoni* was the only rotaliid. The highest population densities were recorded at a two-step brink separating the salt marsh from the lagoon. *Miliammina fusca*, *Ammotium salsum*, and *Trochamminids* were frequent here. The salt marsh fauna was dominated by *Trochammina inflata*, *Haplophragmoides manilaensis*, *Miliammina fusca*, and *Tipho trocha comprimata*. *Balticammina pseudomacrescens* was found at the brink and in the distal Festuca lawn.

The annual monitoring of the foraminiferal fauna at the Bottsand lagoon was initiated in 2003. The aim of the project is to create a complement to the Boknis Eck time series (Bang et al., 2011) because the Bottsand lagoon and the adjacent salt marsh are influenced by both surface water dynamics of the Baltic Sea and predominant seasonal weather conditions. As in any other long-term study, the present investigation is driven by a null hypothesis, i.e. the foraminiferal fauna has not changed with reference to the last year or to the last survey.

2 Material and methods

Samples have been taken annually since 2003 in mid-to late November at our monitoring site at 54°25.613' N, 10°17.692' E, close to sample 107 of Lutze (1968a) and P5 of Lehmann (2000). Additional samples from September, October, and December 2017 were also considered (Table 1). The samples were taken from the bottom of the terminal ditch within reach from the vegetation boundary, i.e. 0.4 to 1.0 m east of the brink.

A chamfered polycarbonate cylinder of 54 or 56 mm inner diameter was used. A graduated plastic ring and spatule were used to slice off the uppermost 1 cm of the surface sediment (Scho¨nfeld et al., 2012). Analysing the 0–1 cm level was common practice in foraminiferal surveys in the Baltic Sea (e.g. Lutze, 1965; Schönfeld and Numberger, 2007; Polovodova et al., 2009; Frenzel et al., 2009). At Bottsand, the uppermost 5 cm were sampled in 1964–1967 (Lutze, 1968a), and the 0–1 cm interval was sampled in 1996–1998 (Lehmann, 2000).

The samples of the present study were transferred into 200 mL PVC (Kautex®) bottles, preserved, and stained with a Rose Bengal ethanol solution (2 g L⁻¹). The sample vol-
Figure 1. Geographical overview (a) and location map (b) of the Bottsand lagoon. Circle: monitoring station in the terminal ditch. Other sampling locations: (c) tidal flats at Schobüll (Lehmann, 2000); (d) Wismar Bight (Frenzel et al., 2009); (e) Boknis Eck (Schönfeld and Numberger, 2007); (f) Arkona Basin (Lutze, 1965). The demarcation of the Baltic Sea, Kattegat, and Skagerrak is applied according to the definition of the International Hydrographic Organisation (IHO, 1953).

ume was marked on the bottle immediately after sampling. Temperature and salinity of lagoonal water were measured after sampling with WTW LF 320 and Cond 3210 conductimeters equipped with a TetraCon 325 probe. The conductimeters had a precision of <0.5 % of measured conductivity and <0.1 K according to a manufacturer’s test certificate. The hand-held instruments were calibrated using either a 3.24356 weight percent potassium chloride solution with a conductivity of 53 mS cm$^{-1}$ at 15 °C, referring to a salinity of 35 practical salinity units (psu) according to the PSS78 scale (Culkin and Smith, 1980; Millero, 1993), or substandards of seawater measured with a high-precision thermosalinograph that has been calibrated with IAPSO Standard Seawater with a 19.37394 ppt Cl$^{-}$ concentration, i.e. 35 g kg$^{-1}$ (‰) solutes. As both modes of instrument calibration were used in the present study, the salinity is denominated with the dimensionless term “unit”. The sample heights were determined with a Zeiss Ni2 (2003–2011) and Leica NA728 (2012–2017) surveyor’s level. The levels were tied to a geodetic reference point at the building at Schleusenweg 2 (1.350 m NN; Fig. 1). The accuracy of levelling is <±0.5 cm.

Since 2012, temperature and salinity, and since 2013 also the water level of the lagoon were measured close to the sampling site at 20 min intervals with Odyssey pressure–temperature and conductivity–temperature recorders for periods ranging from 49 to 79 days before sampling (Table 1). Their precision was ±0.1 % for pressure, ±3 % for conductivity, and ±1°C for temperature according to the manufacturer’s data sheets. The accuracy after calibration with standard solutions was ±0.29 cm for immersion depth, ±0.18 salinity units, and ±0.23 °C (1σ values). The external reproducibility was ±1 cm immersion depth, ±0.3 salinity units, and ±0.5 °C (1σ values), as depicted by a comparison of logger records and on-site measurements with the WTW conductimeters. Water level records of Kiel Fjord measured at the Holtenau locks tide gauge were obtained from online resources (raw data; http://www.pegelonline.wsv.de, last access: 22 April 2018).

The foraminiferal samples were left in the Rose Bengal ethanol solution for at least 2 weeks in order to achieve a pervasive cytoplasm staining of specimens that were living at the time of sampling (Lutze and Altenbach, 1991). Thereafter, the samples were prepared and picked by the author following the procedures described by Schönfeld et al. (2012, 2013) and Lübbers and Schönfeld (2018). Only well-stained specimens from the living fauna were considered in this study. They were sorted by species in Plummer cell slides, fixed with glue, and counted. Images for species’ documentation were taken with a Keyence VHX-700 FD digital microscope at the Institute of Geosciences, Kiel University. Faunal indices were calculated with Past v3.16 (Hammer et al., 2001), frequency analyses of water level records were performed with AnalySeries v1.2 (Paillard et al., 1996). All dates and times are given in Central European Time (MEZ), i.e. Greenwich Mean Time +1 h.

3 Results

3.1 Hydrography

The Bottsand lagoon water levels showed a low-amplitude daily variability of 0.1–0.3 m and occasionally high waters of 0.6–1.0 m NN lasting several days. Water levels below 0.01 m NN were generally not recorded, resembling an average minimum water depth of 0.1 m close to our sampling site. Hence the terminal ditch of the lagoon never fell dry, which is in agreement with personal observations by the author on unnumbered visits to the area. Corresponding tide gauge records from Kiel Fjord documented low water levels
Table 1. Census data of the living (Rose Bengal stained) foraminifera 63–2000 µm, on-site hydrographic measurements (∗), minimum and maximum temperatures and salinities recorded at Bottsand terminal ditch during the months before sampling; maximum and minimum values of nine manual on-site measurements are given because of a conductivity sensor failure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling Level</th>
<th>Miliammina</th>
<th>Ammotium</th>
<th>Arenoparella</th>
<th>Elphidium</th>
<th>Elphidium</th>
<th>Trochammina</th>
<th>Elphidium</th>
<th>Haplophragmoides</th>
<th>Jadammina</th>
<th>Reophax</th>
<th>Labrospira</th>
<th>Balticammina</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>Standing stock</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>(cm³)</td>
<td>(Ind./10 cm³)</td>
<td>richness</td>
<td>alpha (°C)</td>
<td>temperature (°C)</td>
<td>salinity</td>
<td>period (days)</td>
<td>Fishers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min./max.</td>
<td>Min./max.</td>
<td>Observation date (m NN)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.11.2003</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.11.2004</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04.11.2005</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.11.2006</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.11.2007</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.11.2008</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.11.2009</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.11.2010</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.11.2011</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.12.2012</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.12.2013</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.12.2014</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.12.2015</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.12.2016</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.12.2017</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.12.2018</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.12.2019</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.12.2020</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.12.2021</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.12.2022</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Temperature, salinity, and 2016 water level records from the monitoring site at the Bottsand lagoon and Holtenau tide gauge (raw data). The yellow polygon envelops the data range of 2012 through 2015 and 2017 logging records (Schönfeld, 2018).

The temperature showed a successive decline of water temperatures from 18 °C in mid-September to ca. 7 °C on average in mid-November 2012 through 2016. The diurnal variability was rather high in September, with 5–7 °C, whereas it was only 2–3 °C in November. The records of the different years matched well during September and October but fanned out with the beginning of November (Fig. 2).

The salinities showed a much stronger and irregular variability than the temperatures. The inter- and intra-annual salinity variability was low during September in 2012 at 2 to 3 units compared to 2015. Strong oscillations with amplitudes of up to 7 salinity units commenced with the beginning of October during these years. The records of the individual years spread apart by the end of October, effecting a variability between a minimum of 8 and average maximum values of 19 salinity units among the years 2012 to 2015. The salinity of −0.75 m NN or even less during our measuring periods in 2012 through 2017 (Fig. 2).
J. Schönfeld: Monitoring benthic foraminiferal dynamics at Bottsand coastal lagoon

curve of 2016, however, showed a broad, extraordinary maximum at which salinities exceeded the average autumn peak value for a period of 13 days. The 2016 curve merged with the salinity records from 2012 to 2015 no earlier than at the beginning of October. The salinity sensor of the data logger failed in 2017 but on-site measurements showed markedly lower values of 10–16 salinity units in September and early October.

A striking coherence of transient, short-term water level and salinity maxima was recognised at the beginning of the salinity rise in September 2016, following the lunar semi-diurnal M2 tidal constituent of 12 h and 25 min. The northern part of the lagoon was thereby flushed with salt-rich water during every flood, which was, however, diluted again only in part during the next low tide, probably by less-saline ground water seeping (Fig. 3).

3.2 Foraminiferal distribution

There were 12 foraminiferal species recorded in the present study. They comprise nine arenaceous species and three calcareous species of the genus *Elphidium* (Table 1). *Miliammina fusca*, *Ammotium salsum*, *Elphidium williamsonii*, and *Trochammina inflata* were common to frequent with proportions varying between 1 and 57% of the living fauna, even though individual species were occasionally not found (Fig. 4). *Arenoparella mexicana* depicted more constant proportions ranging from 6 to 47%, mostly varying between 10 and 36%. All these species have been found in lagoonal or terminal ditch faunas in 1966 and 1996 as well (Lutze, 1968a; Lehmann, 2000). The proportions of *Miliammina fusca* and *Elphidium williamsonii* were much higher in the 1960s than in the early 2000s. *Elphidium incertum* suddenly appeared in 2016 and made up 89% of the entire fauna, thereby outnumbering all other species. It returned to much lower proportions in 2017. *E. incertum* has not been found in the lagoon before. In total, the six ranked species comprise on average 87.4% of the living fauna.

Among the rare species, *Elphidium gunteri* and *Reophax moniliforme* had also not been recorded in the Bottsand la-
Figure 5. Population density of the living foraminiferal fauna and *Elphidium incertum*. Note the scale break on the y axis.

4 Discussion

4.1 Faunal composition

A long-term assessment of the benthic foraminiferal faunas of the Bottsand lagoon is hampered by the fact that the previous studies focused on different locations and used a different methodology. In 1966, the lagoon bottom was inhabited by *Elphidium williamsoni* (*Cribroronion articulatum* of authors) and *Miliammina fusca* only, both showing profound seasonal variations. At the vegetation boundary or in the terminal ditch of the lagoon, *Ammotium salsum*, *Jadammina macrescens*, *Trophammina inflata*, *Tiphotrecha comprimata*, and *Haplophragmoides wilberti* (*H. bonplandi* of authors) were common to frequent (Lutze, 1968a). In 1996, *Ammotium salsum* spread and populated the entire lagoon and *Ovammina opaca* was frequent as well (Lehmann, 2000).

The latter species may not have been recognised because the samples were picked dry in the 1960s. However, the bottom of the terminal ditch was not sampled in 1996 but the brink before the vegetation boundary was. Balticammina pseudo-macrescens, *Psammospaera sp.*., and *Arenoparella mexicana* were recorded here as well and showed a small-scale lateral variability. The latter two species were not found in 1966, and *Ammotium salsum* was missing here in 1996. The trend of increasing species richness continued in the early 2000s as described above, in particular with the recurrent recruitment of two more *Elphidium* species, *Elphidium incertum*, and the repopulation of the terminal ditch by *Ammotium salsum*. However, the interannual fluctuations of dominant species’ proportions and population densities showed no coherence with meteorological records, as for instance seasonal or annual average temperatures or sunshine hours. The conclusion of Lutze (1968a), that these parameters exerted a positive influence on the faunas, is therefore not corroborated by the data from this study. The overall trend of increasing species richness and the interannual variation in species’ abundances may not be related to changes in substrate properties because the surface sediment was always a crumbly to semi-fluid, organic-rich, sandy mud at the monitoring site.

4.2 Recognition of *Elphidium incertum*

*Elphidium incertum* is commonly found in boreal to arctic seas of Europe where it occurs in intertidal to subtidal environments around the British Isles and in marginal seas and fjords below the halocline (e.g. Horton and Edwards, 2006; Polyak et al., 2002; Nordberg et al., 2017). The salinities prevailing at the sampling sites on British intertidal flats were open marine, and they were not lower than 25 units in the southern Kara Sea, which assigns *E. incertum* as a stenohaline organism (Darling et al., 2016). In the western Baltic Sea, however, *E. incertum* was dwelling in sandy muds at depths between 16 and 25 m that were bathed by inflowing Kattegat water of 20 to 24 salinity units (Lutze, 1974; Schönfeld and Numberger, 2007; Polovodova et al., 2009).

The species has only been occasionally recorded at depths shallower than 13 m, and at presumably even lower salinities, thus challenging the above-mentioned threshold value.

The microhabitat of *E. incertum* is shallow endobenthic. It lives underneath the immediate sediment surface and above the redox boundary (Wefer, 1976). The abundance maximum is centred in the uppermost 5–6 mm of the oxidised near-surface layer (Lutze, 1974). The species was found at the sediment surface only when the redox boundary had moved upwards during hypoxic periods (Wefer, 1976). Therefore, and even under pore water deoxygenation, the *E. incertum* abundance maximum was entirely covered by the 0–1 cm sample interval at the Bottsand monitoring site.

The size of *E. incertum* from the Baltic Sea was ca. 600 to 800 µm, whereas specimens from the Bottsand lagoon were only ca. 125 to 250 µm in diameter and thus much smaller (Plate 1). Populations of *E. incertum* with consistently smaller specimens of 350 to 550 µm test diameter were described from the margins of the Bornholm and Gotland basins in the central Baltic and denominated as *Cribroronion incertum* subsp. A by Lutze (1965). The chambers were slightly inflated and the umbilicus was depressed and covered with small pustules (Plate 1). Morphotypes with a similar size (360 µm) have been recorded from 19 m water depth at Wismar Bight, southern Baltic Sea (Frenzel et al., 2009), and were identified as *Haynesina germanica*. The specimens from Bottsand, however, were lacking diagnostic features of *H. germanica*, in particular the collar of pustules at the base of the apertural face of the last chamber (Austin et al., 2005), the smooth, transparent chamber wall, the widened sutures close to the umbilicus, and the tendency of evolute, oblique coiling. Instead, their chambers are slightly inflated and the outline is lobate (Plate 1). These features are also characteristic for the subarctic *Haynesina orbicularis* or the small-sized *Elphidium albiumbilicatum*. The latter is common in the Baltic Sea as well. However, the field of pustules densely covering the umbilicus is quite large compared to the individuals from Bottsand and extends on the flanks of both sides of the sutures, whereas pustules follow a thin seam along both sides of the narrow incised sutures of the Bottsand speci-
mens. The pustules merge, thicken, and form one to three bundles bridging the sutures between later chambers, which is a diagnostic feature discriminating *E. incertum* from other *Elphidium* species (Lutze, 1965). Finally, a small, lobate *E. incertum* with a pustulous umbilicus from the Kara Sea has been described, where it is common in estuarine settings under the influence of major rivers (Polyak et al., 2002). The occurrences from Bottsand, Wismar Bight, and the central Baltic provide corroborating evidence and suggest that this morphotype has to be considered as an ecophenotype rather than as a subspecies or even a different taxon.
4.3 Propagule trajectories and environmental constraints

Bottsand is a nature reserve and strictly closed to human activities like fisheries or ship traffic that could convey alien species to this area. However, the lagoon is flushed by sporadically high waters, often associated with strong northerly gales, which may well have stirred up foraminiferal propagules by deep, grounding waves and carried them into the lagoon in suspension. This has probably happened more than once a year since the late 19th century, when the lagoon started to form (Schrader, 1990). The question remains of why *E. incertum* or other Baltic deep water taxa have never been found at the Bottsand lagoon before even though hundreds of samples were examined since the 1960s. The salinity records provide a persuasive explanation: values of more than 19.9 units have never been recorded (Table 1). They were created in early September 2016 by enhanced evaporation due the high temperatures and regular inflows from the adjacent Baltic Sea during high tides, which effected, in combination, a successive salt concentration in the terminal ditch (Fig. 3). It is conceivable that a period of 13 days around the lower salinity limit of this species and high temperatures could have triggered the propagules to grow.

One may argue that *E. incertum* had already occurred earlier, that the tests were not preserved, and the species had therefore been missed. Indeed, only a few, corroded *E. williamsoni* specimens were observed among the unstained tests in the samples, which were not systematically investigated in the present study. Nonetheless, Lutze (1974) noted that *E. incertum* was less frequent in the dead assemblage compared to its proportion of the living fauna in Kiel Bight. The turnover rate and test production was considered to be substantially lower than in other species. If this is applicable to the Bottsand lagoon too, a sporadic occurrence of a few living specimens between 1996 and 2003 would hardly have left any record in the surface sediments.

The infaunal *E. incertum* requires high concentrations of food particles, even though it is not strictly bloom feeding, and it is less tolerant to extended hypoxic conditions (Gustafsson and Nordberg, 1999; Polovodova et al., 2009). Both preconditions are given in the organic detritus surface layer and shallow water depth of the ditch. As such, the population dynamics with a huge increase in standing stock was similar to any other first colonising invasive species, even though the constant population densities of the other species suggest that *E. incertum* either used a different food source or microhabitat than the other, indigenous foraminiferal species, or that the carrying capacity of the habitat has not been used at all. The fact that the diversity did not decline substantially with the bloom of the newcomer corroborates this conclusion (Fig. 5). Furthermore, the faunal data suggested a high resilience of *E. incertum* to periods of low salinity as recorded in late 2017. Whether the species will continue to survive in the coming years remains to be tested by continuing the monitoring programme.

5 Conclusions

The long-term dynamics of benthic foraminiferal faunas at the Bottsand lagoon is characterised by a successive increase in species richness and population densities, even though the pattern is superimposed by a strong seasonal, inter-annual, or lateral variability, which is not yet completely understood. *Elphidium incertum*, a foraminiferal species dwelling in the open Baltic Sea at depth, successfully colonised the Bottsand lagoon in early autumn 2016. This happened most likely during a short period of extraordinary high salinities and warm water temperatures. In particular, a salinity of > 19 units lasting for several days was seemingly necessary. The lower salinity limit of *E. incertum* is therefore constrained to 19–20 units, substantially lower than suggested before (Darling et al., 2016). It is conceivable that the above-mentioned environmental setting has triggered the germination from a rich propagule bank, which is sustained by flushing of the lagoon in the course of rising water levels. If so, the observed pattern would corroborate evidence from Doboy Sound, Georgia, USA, for a predominantly landward-directed transport of propagules in marginal marine environments (Weinmann and Goldstein, 2017). The newly raised *E. incertum* population encountered ideal living conditions, responded with a bloom and reached population densities 1 order of magnitude higher than those of the indigenous species. Neither their standing stock nor the diversity of the entire foraminiferal fauna declined substantially, thus indicating that *E. incertum* used another food source or occupied a different microhabitat than the indigenous foraminifera. The new *E. incertum* population showed a remarkable resilience against transient periods of salinities lower than 16 units and was still there in late autumn 2017, though with standing stock values comparable to other species. As such, *E. incertum* became a regular faunal constituent in the terminal ditch of the Bottsand lagoon.

Data availability. The faunal census data analysed in this paper are available in Table 1. Temperature, salinity, and water level logging data are available in the PANGAEA database (Schönfeld, 2018; https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.884925).
**Appendix A: Taxonomic list**

The list comprises all foraminiferal species mentioned in this paper in alphabetical order. The type references can be found in the Ellis and Messina (1940) catalogue. They are not included in the reference list.

- *Ammotium salsum* (Cushman und Brönnimann 1948)
- *Arenoparella mexicana* (Kornfeld 1931)
- *Balthicammina pseudomacrescens* Brönnimann, Lutze und Whittaker 1989
- *Cribroronion articulatum* (d'Orbigny 1839)
- *Elphidium albiumbilicatum* (Weiss 1954)
- *Elphidium gunteri* Cole 1931
- *Elphidium incertum* (Williamson 1858)
- *Elphidium williamsoni* Haynes 1973
- *Haplophragmoides bonplandi* Todd and Brönnimann 1957
- *Haplophragmoides manilaensis* Andersen 1953
- *Haplophragmoides wilberti* Andersen 1953
- *Haynesina germanica* (Ehrenberg 1839)
- *Haynesina orbicularis* (Brady 1881)
- *Jadammina macrescens* (Brady 1870)
- *Labrospira jeffreysii* (Williamson 1858)
- *Miliammina fusca* (Brady 1870)
- *Ovammina opaca* Dahlgren 1962
- *Psammosphaera* sp. Schulze 1875
- *Reophax moniliforme* Siddall 1886,
- *Tiphrotrocha comprimata* (Cushman und Brönnimann 1948)
- *Trochammina inflata* (Montagu 1808)
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